



**HAL**  
open science

# Conservation tillage influences soil structure, earthworm communities and wheat root traits in a long-term organic cropping experiment

Olivier Duchene, Yvan Capowiez, Jean-François Vian, Vincent Ducasse, Adeline Cadiergues, Thomas Lhuillery, Joséphine Peigné

## ► To cite this version:

Olivier Duchene, Yvan Capowiez, Jean-François Vian, Vincent Ducasse, Adeline Cadiergues, et al.. Conservation tillage influences soil structure, earthworm communities and wheat root traits in a long-term organic cropping experiment. *Plant and Soil*, 2023, pp.1-37. 10.1007/s11104-023-06273-3 . hal-04220617

**HAL Id: hal-04220617**

**<https://isara.hal.science/hal-04220617v1>**

Submitted on 28 Sep 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## **Conservation tillage influences soil structure, earthworm communities and wheat root traits in a long-term organic cropping experiment**

This Accepted Manuscript (AM) is a PDF file of the manuscript accepted for publication after peer review, when applicable, but does not reflect post-acceptance improvements, or any corrections. Use of this AM is subject to the publisher's embargo period and AM terms of use. Under no circumstances may this AM be shared or distributed under a Creative Commons or other form of open access license, nor may it be reformatted or enhanced, whether by the Author or third parties. By using this AM (for example, by accessing or downloading) you agree to abide by Springer Nature's terms of use for AM versions of subscription articles: <https://www.springernature.com/gp/open-research/policies/accepted-manuscript-terms>

The Version of Record (VOR) of this article, as published and maintained by the publisher, is available online at: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-023-06273-3>. The VOR is the version of the article after copy-editing and typesetting, and connected to open research data, open protocols, and open code where available. Any supplementary information can be found on the journal website, connected to the VOR.

For research integrity purposes it is best practice to cite the published Version of Record (VOR), where available (for example, see ICMJE's guidelines on overlapping publications). Where users do not have access to the VOR, any citation must clearly indicate that the reference is to an Accepted Manuscript (AM) version.

1 **Conservation tillage influences soil structure, earthworm communities and wheat root**  
2 **traits in a long-term organic cropping experiment**

3 **Authors :** Olivier Duchene<sup>1</sup>, Yvan Capowiez<sup>2</sup>, Jean-François Vian<sup>1</sup>, Vincent Ducasse<sup>1,2</sup>,  
4 Adeline Cadiergues<sup>1</sup>, Thomas Lhuillery<sup>1</sup>, Joséphine Peigné<sup>1</sup>

5 <sup>1</sup> Agroecology and Environment Research Unit, ISARA, 23 rue Jean Baldassini, 69007 Lyon,  
6 France

7 <sup>2</sup> INRAE, EMMAH, UMR 1114 INRAE-Avignon University, Domaine Saint Paul, F-84914  
8 Avignon cedex 09, France

9 \* Corresponding author, [oduchene@isara.fr](mailto:oduchene@isara.fr) ORCID : 0000-0002-0675-0334

10  
11 **Keywords**

12 Tillage gradient, soil profile, soil layers, penetration resistance, soil clods, earthworm  
13 populations, roots

14  
15 **Abstract**

16 **Background and Aims:** Organic farmers are showing increasing interest in using  
17 conservation tillage to improve the biological activities of soils. Here, we assessed whether  
18 conservation tillage in organic farming improves earthworm populations, root growth and soil  
19 physical quality in a sandy loam after 16 years of experiment.

20 **Methods:** We compared the effect of a tillage gradient, with of two non-ploughed treatments  
21 (superficial tillage [ST] at 15 cm; very superficial tillage [VST] at 5–7 cm) and two ploughed  
22 treatments (moldboard ploughing [MP] at 30 cm; shallow moldboard ploughing [SMP] at 20  
23 cm). Soil clod types, penetration resistance, abundance and activity of earthworms, root traits  
24 and biomass were assessed.

25 **Results:** VST decreased soil compaction in topsoil (0 to 10 cm) compared to ploughed  
26 treatments (MP and SMP), but led to more compacted soil at 15 to 30 cm. Earthworm

27 biomass (especially anecic) was higher under VST compared to MP and SMP and their  
28 galleries were better connected to the soil surface. However, there was no significant  
29 difference in the total volume of pores or diameter of galleries between 0 and 30 cm. Soil  
30 compaction in the non-ploughed treatments affected root traits, especially under VST, with  
31 lower specific root length, higher root diameter, and lower root tip elongation compared to  
32 MP and SMP.

33 **Conclusion:** Biological activity did not compensate for the compaction of a sandy soil after  
34 16 years without ploughing in organic farming. A more integrated approach (i.e. considering  
35 all 5 soil health principles) is needed to sustain soil health and functions, and meet current  
36 expectations about “ecological intensification”.

37

### 38 **Abbreviations**

39 CA: Conservation Agriculture; OF: Organic Farming; MP: Traditional Moldboard Ploughing;  
40 SMP: Shallow Moldboard Ploughing; ST: Superficial Tillage; VST: Very Superficial Tillage;  
41 SRL: Specific Root Length; RD: Root Diameter

42

### 43 **Acknowledgments**

44 We thank M. Claude Barber, a French organic farmer, who allowed us to use a field and  
45 participated in this study over 16 years.

46

## 47 1. Introduction

48 Globally, conservation tillage (CT) is being increasingly promoted, and involves no-till,  
49 permanent soil cover, and diversified crop rotations (Kassam *et al.*, 2022). CT preserves  
50 topsoil fertility (Peigné *et al.*, 2018; Soane *et al.*, 2012), improves soil aggregate stability  
51 (Chabert and Sarthou, 2020), and reduces soil degradation (such as slaking and erosion).  
52 Reducing soil tillage and stopping soil inversion (due to ploughing) minimizes the impacts on  
53 habitats of microorganisms and macro-organisms by avoiding mechanical destruction of  
54 galleries and aggregate stability. Cover cropping provides energy for food webs; thus, more  
55 macro- and microorganisms inhabit CT compared to other soil systems (Henneron *et al.*,  
56 2015). CT is also a technique that can increase soil C storage compared to ploughing  
57 (Young *et al.*, 2021). However, this effect is not always confirmed when considering the soil  
58 as a whole. Most studies showed an increase in C storage in the top soil, but no effect, or  
59 even a loss of storage at greater depths (Bohoussou *et al.*, 2022; Krauss *et al.*, 2022).

60 These benefits of CT have generated interest in this practice by many farmers  
61 worldwide (Kassam *et al.*, 2022), including organic farmers in Europe (Casagrande *et al.*,  
62 2015). Organic farming is based on several principles. The first of which is the principle of  
63 health with the non-use of chemically synthesized pesticides and fertilizers (IFOAM, n.d.).  
64 This translates into farming techniques such as the addition of fresh organic matter to supply  
65 plants with nutrients, and the use of mechanical tools to regulate bio-aggressors such as  
66 weeds and pests. Thus, organic farmers looking into CT practices must overcome technical  
67 and agronomical challenges to regulate weeds, bury fresh organic matter and maintain soil  
68 porosity with less or without tillage (Peigné *et al.*, 2007). The second principle of organic  
69 farming relies on the improvement of soil organisms' abundance through more diversified  
70 crop rotations, use of organic fertilizers, and the absence of synthetic pesticides (IFOAM,  
71 n.d.). Therefore, organic farming provides a favorable ground to study how organisms can  
72 regulate pests and remediate soil structure in the context of tillage reduction (Peigné *et al.*,  
73 2007).

74 Different ways to combine OF with CT have been widely explored in the last decade  
75 (Krauss *et al.*, 2018) mainly with agronomic trials comparing the effects of ploughed and non-  
76 ploughed techniques in OF on different parameters of soil quality and agronomic  
77 performances (weed control, crop yields). Benefits from non-ploughed techniques were  
78 observed in the first few centimeters of topsoil. In particular, C and nutrients (N, P, K) content  
79 were higher (Peigné *et al.*, 2018), microbial activity was greater (Krauss *et al.*, 2020), and soil  
80 density was trending lower (Peigné *et al.*, 2018). However, crop yields tend to be lower in the  
81 non-ploughed systems of OF (Cooper *et al.*, 2016). Several factors might contribute to this  
82 phenomenon, including competition with weeds, which is difficult to mitigate without  
83 herbicides and tillage. Increased topsoil compaction below tillage depth has also been  
84 reported for different soil types (Cooper *et al.*, 2016) in both OF and conventional farming  
85 (Saone *et al.*, 2012). Peigné *et al.* (2018) showed that the topsoil of sandy loam soil was still  
86 denser after 10 years of superficial tillage in OF compared to ploughed treatments. Kraus *et*  
87 *al.* (2018) also recorded a denser soil layer at 10 and 20 cm depth, below the tilled layer.

88 Without tillage, only abiotic and biotic processes prevent and/or remove soil  
89 compaction (Drewry, 2006). In clay soils, successive cycles of wetting and drying of the soil  
90 (e.g., dry periods or in winter with frost) lead to the swelling and shrinking of clay, creating  
91 porous cracks. Roots and soil organisms, with a large influence from earthworms, also help  
92 to maintain and create porosity (Wendel *et al.*, 2022). However, a significant abundance and  
93 activity of soil organisms and roots is required to maintain or increase soil porosity. Several  
94 studies showed that more soil organisms, such as earthworms are present in CT (Pelosi *et*  
95 *al.*, 2014), including under OF (Kuntz *et al.*, 2013). However, these results vary with soil  
96 cover, soil type and tillage practices (Peigné *et al.*, 2009).

97 Few field-based studies have considered simultaneously earthworm communities,  
98 macropore building and root exploration. A long-term experiment by Capowiez *et al.* (2009)  
99 showed that more anecic earthworms were present under reduced tillage compared to  
100 ploughing. This increase was correlated with the increase of macropores at greater soil  
101 depth, compensating higher bulk density at this soil depth. However, the magnitude of root

102 exploration changes in no-ploughed systems remains unquantified. To enhance the  
103 understanding of this phenomenon, root biomass measurements provide a clear indication of  
104 the quantity of plant belowground tissues, and provides information on plant nutrient-water  
105 acquisition capacity, interactions with soil organisms, and litter inputs to the soil. The vertical  
106 distribution of roots also provides evidence of the rooting depth, indicating potential issues  
107 with soil structure when roots fail to penetrate a given soil layer. Finally, root morphological  
108 traits (e.g., specific root length, root diameter, root tip elongation) are affected by the  
109 mechanical resistance of soil to deformation, providing useful information on soil exploration  
110 constraints and mechanical stress. In our study, root traits represent 'response traits' which  
111 means that their adaptation to the soil is evaluated, rather than their potential effect on it  
112 ('effect traits').

113 Thus, determining how no-till affects soil structure and biological activities  
114 (earthworms, roots) would provide an opportunity to inform scientists and farmers about  
115 practices suitable to combine tillage reduction and organic farming, where nutrient availability  
116 is especially important to maintain yields. To address this question, we benefited from a long-  
117 term experiment established in 2005, the 'THIL' trial, in which we compared conservation  
118 tillage and ploughing techniques under OF conditions. Previous results, after 10 years of  
119 experiment under a winter wheat crop, showed that soil fertility was better in the first 15 cm  
120 soil depth with very superficial tillage, resulting in more nutrients, C, porosity and roots  
121 (Peigné *et al.*, 2018). However, below this depth, soil was more compact, roots became  
122 scarcer, and there was no marked effect on the abundance and activity of earthworms  
123 (assessed visually). Thus, here, we aimed to explore the results of this field experiment after  
124 16 years. Specifically, we sought to confirm whether the results after 10 years still held at 16  
125 years. We also conducted an in-depth analysis of earthworm activity (X-ray tomography  
126 analysis) and root growth (biomass and morphological traits) in order to assess the changes  
127 in soil biological functionalities when ploughing is stopped.

128

## 129 2. Materials and methods

### 130 2.1. Experimental design


131 The “Thil” trial (45° 49’ 9.44” N and 5° 2’ 2.62” E) was set up in 2004–5 in south-eastern  
132 France. The soil type is a calcareous fluvisol developing on a recent alluvium. The soil  
133 texture is composed of 53% sand, 32% silt, and 15% clay; therefore a sandy loam soil. The  
134 pH is 8.5. Below 60 cm, soil texture is not spatially homogeneous, due to heterogeneity in  
135 sand and gravel deposits. The climate is classified as semi-continental with Mediterranean  
136 influences. The mean annual temperature is 11.4°C, and cumulative annual rainfall was 825  
137 mm in 2021 (20mm below the average annual rainfall for the region). The “Thil” cropping  
138 system is an irrigated system with spring crops (maize and soybean), winter wheat, and  
139 legumes as a cover crop. This system is representative of the organic stockless grain  
140 systems found in this region. Land conversion to organic farming (EU 2092/91) started in  
141 1999. Crop rotation is based on Maize (*Zea mays* L.)- Soybean (*Glycine max* L.)- Winter  
142 wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), with cereal cover crops between maize and soybean, and  
143 legume cover crops between winter wheat and maize. Soybean and maize are intensively  
144 irrigated each year (around 300 mm), whereas winter wheat is irrigated according to climatic  
145 conditions (from 30 mm to 100 mm). Soil measurements were performed between November  
146 2004 and March 2005 on 3-year alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*, 2002–2005), just before the  
147 beginning of the experiment (with a maize in 2005), to determine an initial point. Soil and root  
148 measurements were performed after 10 years of the experiment (Peigné *et al* 2018). Soil and  
149 root measurements were performed again after 16 years of the experiment (current study) on  
150 winter wheat in 2020–2021 (at the end of the experiment, after four crop rotations).

151 The experimental design consisted of four tillage treatments, representing a tillage  
152 gradient, replicated randomly three times. The experimental field of 1.5 ha contained 12  
153 experimental plots, each measuring 80 x 12 m<sup>2</sup> (length x width). The plots were separated by  
154 2-m-wide grass strips. All plots were irrigated. The four tillage treatments were selected  
155 according to their expected effect on soil biology and soil structure, and represented a tillage  
156 depth gradient. Specifically, we implemented two ploughed treatments (moldboard ploughing



157 at 30 cm depth [MP] and shallow ploughing at 20 cm with no skim coulter [SMP]), and two  
 158 non-ploughed treatments without soil inversion (superficial tillage at 15 cm with a chisel  
 159 plough [ST] and very superficial tillage at 5–7 cm with rotary and/or chisel tools [VST]). In  
 160 2005 and 2008, direct sowing under rolled mulch was tested on VST plots (maize on rolled  
 161 alfalfa in 2005 and soybean on rolled rye in 2008). The seedbed was prepared with a rotary  
 162 harrow in all treatments. Weeds were mechanically destroyed by harrowing and hoeing the  
 163 soil in the row crops, with four passes. Weed control was adjusted for each tillage treatment.  
 164 The number of weeding passes was adjusted according to the degree of weed infestation in  
 165 each treatment.

166 All of the agricultural tools on wheat were 4 m wide. Wheel tracks were located on the  
 167 same zones in 2021 as in previous years. However, as the tools used on maize and  
 168 soybeans were 4.80 m wide, this, combined with harvesting operations, meant that the entire  
 169 plot area might have been compacted by vehicle wheels over the last 16 years. An overview  
 170 of the crop management system and sampling in 2021 is provided in Figure 1. In 2021,  
 171 winter wheat was irrigated before and after the soil sampling period (35 mm April 17–18, 30  
 172 mm on April 25–26, and 35 mm on June 7). Rainfall of 174 mm was recorded in May 2021,  
 173 before the sampling period.








174  
 175 Figure 1: Crop management and sampling dates. Organic fertilization (feather mill) : 170 kg N/ha 10  
 176 kg P/ha, 10 kg K/ha  
 177

## 178 2.2. Soil structure

179           2.2.1. Visual assessment of soil structure

180           To understand where and why soil compaction occurs, soil structure was  
181 characterized morphologically from the observation face of a pit (1 m deep x 4 m wide),  
182 following 'soil profile' methodology (Boizard *et al.*, 2017; Peigné *et al.*, 2012). In each plot of  
183 the experimental trial, one pit was dug perpendicular to the wheel tracks of soil cultivation  
184 machinery. The face of each pit was described macroscopically (on a vertical plane) in two  
185 steps. Three soil profiles per treatment (one per replicate) were performed at the flowering  
186 stage of winter wheat in May 2021.

187           First, we located all wheel tracks on the soil surface to indicate lateral stratification of  
188 the soil profile. In soil profiles, 3 types of lateral stratification are observed (See figure S1):  
189 the area of the profile located under the wheel tracks of field operations done after secondary  
190 tillage (visible at soil surface), called L1; the area of the profile located under the wheel tracks  
191 of secondary tillage operations, called L2; and part of the profile untouched by wheels since  
192 the primary tillage of the growing year, called L3. Then, soil layers delimited from the working  
193 depth of successive tillage tools used to prepare the seedbed for the current crop were  
194 located in the soil, indicating the vertical stratification of the soil profile. The intersection of  
195 vertical and horizontal stratifications naturally defines homogeneous soil compartments for  
196 our study (Figure S1).

197           Secondly, we visually assessed the structural quality of every homogeneous  
198 compartment previously defined. We classified clods > 2 cm into three classes depending on  
199 the proportion of structural porosity visible: (1) delta () clods were clods with no visible  
200 structural porosity, with prominent edges and flat surfaces, evidence of severe compaction  
201 (Boizard *et al.*, 2017); (2) alpha () clods were moderately compacted clods with visible biological  
202 macropores (earthworms, roots) on their flat surfaces; and (3) gamma () clods were clods  
203 with a loose structure with clearly visible structural porosity. The clods had distinct physical  
204 characteristics. For instance,  clods had higher bulk density compared to  clods, which  
205 favor anoxic conditions (Curmi, 1988) with lower biological activity (Vian *et al.*, 2009). To  
206 assess structural quality visually, we observed the spatial distribution of the three types of

207 clods in the homogeneous soil compartments (intersection of horizontal and vertical  
208 stratification). Then, we calculated the percentage of area occupied by each clod type in the  
209 soil (0–30 cm depth).

### 210 *2.2.2. Soil penetration resistance*

211 The soil penetration resistance was used to assess the state of the soil structure at  
212 different depths. It was measured in zones with no evidence of wheel marks (L3 zones; axis  
213 of the seeder). Soil penetration resistance was measured in May 2021 (Figure 1) at the  
214 wheat flowering stage with a manual penetrometer “EIJKELKAMP”. After choosing the most  
215 appropriate cone diameter (according to compaction level), the penetrometer was pushed  
216 vertically into the soil at an approximate constant rate of 2 cm per second for each handle.  
217 Soil resistance pressure was measured every 5 cm to 45 cm depth. The recorded resistance  
218 was standardized, taking into account the cross-section area of the cone. Penetration  
219 resistance was expressed in MPa. Ten randomly distributed measurements of soil  
220 penetration resistance were implemented per plot, only in areas with no recent wheel tracks.  
221 In total 30 measurements of soil penetration were obtained per tillage treatment (3 plots X 10  
222 measurements). Gravimetric soil water content (% mass basis) was measured for every sub-  
223 replicate at five soil depths (0-5, 5-15, 15-20, 20-30, and 30-50 cm) to verify that soil  
224 moisture was similar between sampling zones. Moisture content averaged 14% over the 0-50  
225 cm soil layer, varying from 14.5% in the first centimeters (0-5 cm) to 12.2% in the 30-50 cm  
226 soil layer.

227

## 228 **2.3. Root growth and soil exploration**

### 229 *2.3.1. Root density*

230 Roots were mapped by counting roots during soil profile observations. On the face of  
231 the soil profile, soil fragments were cut off with a knife to a thickness of 1 cm to refresh the  
232 face and highlight the roots. A grid (70 cm wide, 1 m long) was attached to the face of the  
233 soil profile (Pagès *et al.*, 1999; Pierret *et al.*, 2007). The number of roots present in each cell  
234 (2 \* 2 cm<sup>2</sup>) of the grid was counted and recorded, providing information on the number of

235 roots present throughout the soil profile. Root density was calculated every 2 cm, from the  
236 soil surface to 70 cm soil depth, by summing the number of roots in the 35 cells of the 2-cm  
237 line of the grid.

238 Root density was counted twice for three soil profiles (*i.e.*, 6 measurements per  
239 treatment) at the flowering stage of winter wheat in May 2021. Grids were located on zones  
240 in the soil profile without wheel tracks (*i.e.*, L3; Figure 1).

241

### 242 2.3.2. Root biomass and morphological traits

243 Three cores of a depth of 45 cm were collected in each plot (*i.e.* 9 samples per  
244 treatment) in areas without recent wheel traffic (*i.e.*, L3, same areas where penetrometry was  
245 measured). Each soil core with a diameter of 8 cm was divided into four sections to  
246 distinguish between the 0–5, 5–15, 15–30, and 30–45 cm soil layers. Soil samples were  
247 stored at -20 °C until further processing. Soil samples were soaked in buckets of water for 15  
248 min. Then, the soil was washed off of the roots under running water over two sieves of 1 and  
249 0.2 mm mesh-size (Freschet *et al.*, 2021). After the soil was removed, all roots were  
250 collected from the sieves manually. Roots were then transferred and spread on a flat tray  
251 filled with water to remove any remaining impurities. Roots were not sorted between live and  
252 dead roots, and were all considered as absorptive roots (“fine roots”) since root diameter was  
253 consistently <1mm.

254 Sub-samples of roots were taken after washing to document root morphology  
255 measurements. Roots were cut and spread on a flat transparent tray to avoid any roots  
256 overlapping. They were then digitized at 600 dpi with a flatbed scanner (Epson V800 Pro)  
257 and analyzed using a digital image analysis system (RhizoVision Explorer, Seethepalli *et al.*,  
258 2021) to obtain root length and root diameter. Root dry mass was obtained after oven-drying  
259 scanned roots for 48 h at 60 °C. From these estimates, we calculated the average root  
260 diameter (RD, mm) and specific root length (SRL, cm. g<sup>-1</sup>).

261 For each sample, the roots not used in the sub-sample were over dried for 48h at 60°C  
262 and weighed. The dry mass obtained was added to the one of sub-sample in order to get

263 total root biomass. Root length density ( $\text{cm}\cdot\text{cm}^{-3}$ ) was obtained by multiplying root biomass in  
264 the soil core section by its estimated specific root length, and dividing it by the volume of the  
265 soil core section.

266 To assess root tip elongation, three undisturbed soil blocks were taken per tillage  
267 treatment (one per replicate). Each soil block measured 25 cm x 20 cm on the soil surface,  
268 centered on one crop row, and was 30 cm deep. These blocks were carefully washed and  
269 progressively opened to extract the whole root system. Six aliquots were collected that  
270 corresponded to entire branched roots from the soil surface to 30 cm depth. Each aliquot  
271 was divided into three sub-aliquots to distinguish 0–5, 5–15, and 15–30 cm rooting depth.  
272 Contrary to segmented roots scanned for assessing root diameter and length, the roots  
273 within each sub-aliquot were maintained intact. Each sub-aliquot was spread in a flat  
274 transparent tray, and was digitized with a flatbed scanner (Epson V800 Pro). Digital image  
275 analysis was used to estimate the number of root tips per root centimeter from the whole and  
276 branched roots that were scanned. Root tip is here defined as the root apical zone, from the  
277 apex to first lateral roots. Root endings created by cutting the roots during the division of sub-  
278 aliquots were identified and not considered as root tips. This provided a good overview of the  
279 importance of root tip elongation and spread of root branches.

280

## 281 **2.4. Earthworm community and activity**

### 282 *2.4.1. Biomass, abundance, and density of earthworms*

283 Earthworms were sampled in plots in areas without recent wheel traffic (i.e., L3, same  
284 areas where penetrometry was measured). In 2004, when the experiment was initiated,  
285 earthworm biomass was sampled using the formaldehyde method, and the results were  
286 published by Peigné *et al.* (2009). As this method presents a health risk to living organisms,  
287 and is not in accordance with organic farming principle, earthworm biomass was sampled  
288 using a hand sorting method after 2010 (Peigné *et al.*, 2018). The earthworm population was  
289 sampled for the winter wheat crop in March 2021, three months before the wheat flowering  
290 stage (Figure 1) when earthworms are very active. Six samples were assessed in each plot

291 (i.e. 18 samples per treatment)., on a surface of 30 cm X 30 cm and to a depth of 30 cm. To  
292 sort earthworms, we simply dug the soil with a spade and worked fast enough to limit the  
293 number of anecic species that escaped. We poured the soil into a container, and then  
294 inspected the sides and bottom of the hole to detect any escaping earthworms. After  
295 carefully sorting the soil to capture all the earthworms *in situ*, we placed them in a bowl filled  
296 with fine soil. Jars containing the earthworms were placed in the shade (where it was cool)  
297 and were transported to the laboratory. There, the earthworms were cleaned and fixed with  
298 4% of formaldehyde, classified by ecological categories (anecic, endogeic, and epigeic) and  
299 species. They were then counted and weighed (formaldehyde weight). Brown-headed anecic  
300 species (*Aporrectodea giardi*, *A. longa*, and *A. nocturna*) were grouped together because  
301 they occurred at relatively low abundances, it was not possible to separate the juveniles of  
302 each species, and they are assumed to have more similar functional roles compared to  
303 anecic *Lumbricus* species (Hoeffner *et al.* .2022).

304

#### 305 2.4.2. Soil cores and earthworm burrow systems

306 Three cores (16 cm diameter, 25 cm depth) were collected in each plot (i.e. 9  
307 samples per treatment) following the protocol described by Capowiez *et al.* (2021) with 3  
308 cores in each experimental plot. In sum, soil cores were manually collected using a PVC tube  
309 placed vertically on the soil. The soil around the tube was carefully excavated to a depth of a  
310 few centimeters using a small hand-spade. Then, only the soil just below the PVC tube was  
311 removed using a knife vertically at 1 cm intervals. After each centimeter, the tube was gently  
312 inserted into the soil using a hammer on top of the PVC tube. The process continued until the  
313 depth of the soil core in the PVC was 25 cm. Then, the soil below the core was horizontally  
314 cut with a knife, and the core was put in a plastic bag. The macrofauna inside each core was  
315 killed to prevent further burrowing by adding 3 mL chloroform.

316 The macroporosity of the soil cores was analyzed by X-ray tomography using a  
317 medical scanner (BrightSpeed Exel 4, General Electric) at the INRAE Nancy Research  
318 Centre. The following settings were used: 50 kV, 130 mA, and 1.25 mm between images.

319 The final image resolution was 0.38 mm per pixel. Images were first transformed into 8-bit  
320 images (using 1000 and 2000 HU as minimum and maximum grey-level values,  
321 respectively). The images were then binarized using a unique and fixed threshold for all  
322 cores, because the separation between peaks (void and soil matrix) in the grey-level  
323 histograms was easy.




324 Macroporosity was characterized using a variety of approaches. Volume was  
325 calculated as the sum of the volumes of burrows. The number of burrows larger and smaller  
326 than 2 cm<sup>3</sup> were differentiated. Estimated diameter was computed as the equivalent circular  
327 diameter for only the most circular 2D pores (i.e., for which circularity, computed in ImageJ,  
328 was higher than 0.8). Vertical barycenter was computed as the mean of the vertical center of  
329 mass of each macropore taking into account their respective volume. Vertical continuity was  
330 assessed by counting the number of burrows that had a vertical extension larger than 30% of  
331 the length of soil cores (i.e., 7.5 cm). The volume of macroporosity that was connected to the  
332 soil surface was quantified. All computations were done using ImageJ (Schindelin *et al.*,  
333 2012) and adapted macros.

334

335

336

### 337 **2.5. Statistical analyses**

338 The normal distribution and homoscedasticity of each variable was verified. The  
339 earthworm data did not follow a normal distribution, so a Kruskal Wallis test (non-parametric  
340 test) was performed (alpha = 0.05) followed by a Dunn test (alpha=0.05) to perform pairwise  
341 comparisons if the Kruskal-Wallis test is statically significant. For the same reason, analysis  
342 of the percentages of ,  and  clods observed in the soil profiles was also performed  
343 with a Kruskal Wallis test followed by a Dunn test. The composition of earthworm  
344 communities was analyzed using a Linear Discriminant Analysis (i.e. a projection of the data  
345 so that the differences between treatments are maximized). Data for burrow systems were

346 analyzed with ANOVA (after log-transformation when required). The characteristics of the  
347 burrow systems were also analyzed using Linear Discriminant Analysis.

348 To analyze root density, biomass and traits of roots, along with penetration  
349 resistance, two statistical analyses were performed. First, linear mixed models with  
350 autoregressive errors were adjusted to account for the dependence of values with respect to  
351 soil depth. As fixed effects, the interaction of tillage treatment with soil depth used a  
352 polynomial function of 4 degrees (penetration resistance model) or 2 degrees (root trait  
353 models, root density models). An autoregressive (order 1 covariance) structure using soil  
354 depth was used. The random effects corresponded to the number of replicates. Post-hoc  
355 analysis were performed with tukey's multiple comparison test ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ). All statistical  
356 analyses were performed with R software (R Core Team, 2016).

### 357 3. Results

#### 358 3.1. Changes in soil compaction and root exploration across the soil profile

359 In the 0–45 cm soil layer, penetration resistance, root density, average diameter and  
360 specific root length were all significantly influenced by tillage practices in interaction with soil  
361 depth (Table 1). Root biomass was only affected by soil depth (Table 1).  
362

363  
364

	Soil Penetration resistance	Root density	Root Biomass	Root average Diameter	Specific root length	Root Tip elongation
Depth	<0.0001(***)	<0.0001(***)	<0.0001(***)	<0.0001(***)	<0.0001(***)	<0.0001(***)
Tillage	0.9712	0.6305	0.6864	0.0015(**)	0.0006(***)	0.0738
Treatment						
Depth*Tillage	<0.0001(***)	0.0097(**)	0.2489	0.0004(***)	0.0387(*)	0.0003(***)
Treatment						



365 Table 1: P-values obtained from the different models testing the influence of tillage treatments and soil  
366 depth on soil penetration resistance, root density, biomass, average diameter, specific root length and  
367 root tip elongation.

368  
369 The topsoil (0-15 cm) was characterized by the highest root biomass, regardless of  
370 the tillage treatment (Figure 2a). All root traits were also similar with the exception of root  
371 density which was high with VST in the first 10 centimeters but lower compared to the other  
372 treatment if integrated on the depth range of 0-20 cm (Figure 3). Significant differences in  
373 penetration resistance among the four tillage treatments were recorded in the surface 10 cm,  
374 with higher resistance for MP compared to ST and VST (Figure 4). This result was explained  
375 by the presence of smoothing at 10 cm during the passage of the rotary harrow at sowing,  
376 which smoothed the soil deeply in plots that were very crumbled by ploughing.

377 Below the top soil (15-30 cm), root biomass decreased for all situations (Figure 2a).  
378 Root average diameter was higher when no tillage occurred at this depth (ST, VST; Figure  
379 2b). In the case of VST, specific root length was lower, as well as root tip elongation (higher  
380 number of tips per root cm; Figure 2c,d) and root density (Figure 3). ST and VST also had  
381 higher resistance compared to the tilled situations at 20 cm deep (MP and SMP), with VST  
382 having the highest resistance (Figure 4). At 30 cm depth, MP treatment was the only  
383 treatment being tilled at this depth, and remained with lower resistance values compared to  
384 the other treatments (SMP, ST, VST).


385 Higher soil compaction with less tillage was also strengthened by the qualitative  
386 observations of clods in the soil profiles. The main difference between soil profiles was the  
387 percentage of porous clods (☐) being higher in MP (61%) compared to VST (29%; Figure 5).  
388 In comparison, SMP and ST had intermediate percentages (40 and 41%, respectively).

389 Below 30 cm deep, no tillage operations were performed for any plots. Root biomass was the  
390 lowest and root traits were similar for all situations (Figure 6a,b,c). However, VST exhibited  
391 lower resistance to penetration at 40 cm deep compared to MP (Figure 4), and showed a

392 tendency (not significant) to have higher root density compared to the more intensive tillage  
393 treatments (MP and SMP; Figure 3)  
394

Accepted manuscript

Tillage NO Transition YES




396

397 Figure 2. (a) Root biomass, (b) average root diameter, (c) specific root length and (d) root tip  
398 elongation (number of root tips per root cm) depending on tillage treatments (MP= moldboard  
399 ploughing; SMP= shallow moldboard ploughing; ST = superficial tillage, VST = very superficial tillage)  
400 and soil layers. For (d) root tip elongation, higher values mean less tip elongation. Lowercase letters  
401 indicate statistical group differences between boxplots (tuckey's multiple comparison,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ).  
402 White boxplots ("NO") indicate situations with no mechanical disturbance from tillage practices, light  
403 grey boxplots ("YES") indicate situations where mechanical disturbance is applied by tillage practices,  
404 and dark grey boxplots ("Transition") indicates situations where mechanical disturbance does not  
405 concern the whole sample, but only the upper part. This case is only found for the SMP treatment,  
406 where ploughing is done until 18-20 cm deep.


407

Accepted manuscript



408  
 409 Figure 3 (A-B-C-D): Winter wheat root (mean values) maps obtained in moldboard ploughing (A),  
 410 Shallow Moldboard Ploughing (B), Superficial Tillage (C) and Very Superficial Tillage (D) treatments –  
 411 at the flowering stage of winter wheat in 2021. From light blue (1 root) to dark blue (more than 4 roots).  
 412 Six individual values are used to calculate mean percentage (given above). Red lines indicate the  
 413 depth of 20 cm where interaction between tillage treatment and depth was significant (p-value  
 414 <0.0125). Lowercase letters indicate statistical group differences considering the percentage of cells  
 415 between 0 and 20 cm with at least one root observation. No statistical differences were found at  
 416 deeper depths. Green line the end of the rooting at 72 cm depth.  
 417  
 418

419



420

421


422 Figure 4: Penetration resistance in MPa of the 4 tillage treatments from 5 cm to 45 cm depth in May  
 423 2021 under zones without wheel tracks. Thirty individual values are used to calculate the mean. At 10,  
 424 20, 30 and 40 cm different lowercase letters indicate statistical group differences according to tuckey's  
 425 multiple comparison test ( $\alpha=0.05$ ). MP: Moldboard ploughing; SMP: shallow moldboard ploughing;  
 426 ST: superficial tillage; VST: very superficial tillage.

427

428

429

430



431  
 432 Figure 5: Percentage of porous zones (Γ), compacted zones with earthworm burrows (Δb) and  
 433 compacted zones (Δ) of the 4 tillage treatments observed in May 2021 in a soil profile (on the 0-30  
 434 cm soil layer in zones without wheel track). Three individual values are used to calculate the mean  
 435 and standard error. MP: Moldboard ploughing; SMP: Shallow moldboard ploughing; ST: superficial  
 436 tillage; VSP: very superficial tillage. No significant difference was found with the Kruskal-Wallis test  
 437 (alpha = 0.05) for compacted zones (Δ) (p.value=0,4), nor for compacted zones with earthworm  
 438 burrows (Δb) (p.value=0,07). Significant differences were found with the Kruskal-Wallis test (alpha =  
 439 0.05) for porous zones (Γ) (p.value=0.025), and lowercase letters indicate statistical group differences  
 440 (post-hoc Dunn's test) between tillage treatments.

### 441 3.2. Earthworm community and activity

442 Ten species of earthworms were identified, including one epigeic species, four anecic  
 443 species with three brown-headed species, and six endogeic species with one unspecified  
 444 endogeic species (Table S1). The communities were different between MP and SMP on one  
 445 hand and ST on the other hand (Figure S2), with the first group characterized by a higher  
 446 contribution of *A. icterica* and ST characterized by a higher contribution of *A. antipai* (Table  
 447 S1). Our results showed that earthworm biomass was higher for VST (67.7 g.m<sup>-2</sup>) compared  
 448 to MP (34.9 g.m<sup>-2</sup>) and SMP (38 g.m<sup>-2</sup>) (Table 3, Kruskal Wallis p-value < 0.001), which was  
 449  
 450

451 consistent with more compacted clods with visible earthworm macropores (Fig. 5b) found under  
 452 VST (46%) compared to MP (22%) (Figure 5). The difference in earthworm biomass for VST  
 453 was attributed to greater anecic biomass (Kruskal Wallis p-value < 0.001) and total adult  
 454 biomass (Kruskal Wallis p-value < 0.01). The only significant difference observed for  
 455 abundance was the density of total adults, with significantly higher values in VST (26.5  
 456 individuals.m<sup>-2</sup>) compared to MP (13.6 individuals.m<sup>-2</sup>) and SMP (11.7 individuals.m<sup>-2</sup>)  
 457 (Kruskal Wallis p-value < 0.05).

458

Species/adult or juvenil	Moldboard Ploughing		Shallow Moldboard Ploughing		Superficial Tillage		Very Superficial Tillage		Test Kruskal.Wallis: p.values	
	Ind.m <sup>-2</sup>	BM g.m <sup>-2</sup>	Ind.m <sup>-2</sup>	BM g.m <sup>-2</sup>	Ind.m <sup>-2</sup>	BM g.m <sup>-2</sup>	Ind.m <sup>-2</sup>	BM g.m <sup>-2</sup>	Ind.m <sup>-2</sup>	BM g.m <sup>-2</sup>
<b>Total epigeic</b>	4.9	1.3	0.6	0.1	3.1	1.4	3.1	1.1	0.368	0.322
<b>Total epigeic adults</b>	0.6	0.4	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.9	0.6	0.5	0.543	0.563
<b>Total anecic</b>	44.4	<b>13.9a</b>	43.2	<b>18.7a</b>	53.7	<b>29.8ab</b>	56.8	<b>45.1b</b>	0.231	<b>&gt;0.001</b>
<b>Total anecic adults</b>	1.2	2.4	1.9	3.9	3.7	5.8	7.4	15.2	0.256	0.119
<b>Total endogeic</b>	72.2	17.6	70.4	17.4	65.4	20.4	59.3	20.9	0.526	0.737
<b>Total endogeic adults</b>	10.5	6.8	9.3	7.2	15.4	6.9	17.9	10.6	0.122	0.293
<b>Total</b>	125.9	<b>34.9a</b>	122.2	<b>38.0a</b>	122.8	<b>52.6ab</b>	120.4	<b>67.7b</b>	0.967	<b>&gt;0.001</b>
<b>Total adult</b>	<b>13.6A</b>	<b>9.1a</b>	<b>11.7A</b>	<b>10.4a</b>	<b>21.6AB</b>	<b>14.1a</b>	<b>26.5B</b>	<b>27.8b</b>	<b>0.011</b>	<b>0.008</b>

459 Table 2: Earthworm biomass (BM.g<sup>-2</sup>) and abundance (Ind.m<sup>-2</sup>) in March 2021 (n=18) per main  
 460 ecological category. Data per species are in supplementary information. A,B ; a,b corresponds to  
 461 significant difference between treatments with the Kruskal-Wallis test (α=0.05) followed by post-Hoc  
 462 Dunn's (α=0.05)

463

464


465 Some representative burrow systems are presented in Figure 6, showing many tubular  
 466 macropores, assumed to be earthworm burrows, that were mainly vertically-oriented.

467 Significant difference was observed for the total volume of burrows between SMP on one  
 468 side and MP and ST on the other side, while VST had intermediate values. No significant  
 469 difference was revealed for diameter, or continuity (Table 3). The vertical barycenter was  
 470 significantly higher for the two treatments MP and SMP, compared to ST and VST treatments  
 471 which had shallower tillage and no soil inversion. SMP was characterized by a higher



472 number of macropores (below and above 2 cm<sup>3</sup>) compared to VST, ST, and MP, but a  
 473 greater volume of burrows was connected to the surface under VST and ST treatments.  
 474 Linear Discriminant Analysis (Figure S2) showed that our tillage depth gradient is mainly  
 475 separating VST and ST from MP plots along the first axis, and from SMP plots along the  
 476 second axis. Situations with reduced tillage and no soil inversion (VST and ST) were mostly  
 477 alike and were related to higher burrow diameter and higher volume of burrow connected to  
 478 the surface.

479



480

481 Figure 6: Examples of burrows systems per plots and treatments. The red arrow indicates an example  
 482 of tubular macropore. MP: Moldboard ploughing; SMP: Shallow moldboard ploughing; ST: superficial  
 483 tillage; VSP: very superficial tillage)

3D burrow characteristics	Moldboard Ploughing	Shallow Moldboard Ploughing	Superficial Tillage	Very Superficial Tillage	Anova test: p.values
Volume of burrows (cm <sup>3</sup> )	28.85b	55.89a	36.29ab	46.11ab	0.01
Diameter (mm)	7.18	7.33	7.50	7.56	0.13

Vertical barycenter (cm)	77.61a	88.60a	45.13b	53.45b	<0.001
Continuity#	5.88	4.67	6.00	6.12	0.59
Number of 2D macropores whose area was lower than 2 cm <sup>3</sup>	120.2b	227.3a	157.2b	159.3b	<0.001
Number of 2D macropores whose area was larger than 2 cm <sup>3</sup>	50.89b	84.8a	50.44b	59.89ab	0.0064
Macroporosity connected to the surface (cm <sup>3</sup> )	4.90b	8.61b	11.81a	14.78a	0.004

484

485

486 Table 3. Main characteristics of the burrow systems observed in the sampled soil cores (diameter = 16  
487 cm and depth= 25 cm.

#### 488 4. Discussion

##### 489 4.2. Soil stratification and compaction with long-term tillage reduction

490 Reichert *et al.* (2016) suggested a conceptual framework on the evolution of soil  
491 structure under no-till with 4 phases. In the first three phases, after 1.5 years (initial phase),  
492 3.5 years (intermediate), and 5 to 14 years (transitional phase), respectively, soil structure  
493 gradually improved due to its re-aggregation through biological activities in the 0–15 cm soil  
494 layer, before reaching the fourth phase (after 14 years) where the whole cultivated soil layer  
495 becomes stabilized and homogenous through the complete restoration of biological activity.  
496 While the transitional phase was indeed observed in our experiment after 10 years (Peigné  
497 *et al.*, 2018), the final and complete restoration stage was not yet observed after 16 years. In  
498 our context, with a sensitive sandy loam soil, the stratification of the soil structure remained.

499 For the topsoil (0-15 cm) where tillage disturbance is involved for the whole soil layer  
500 in all treatments but VST, the most intensive tillage situation (MP) caused soil compaction at  
501 a depth of 10 cm due to the smoothing effect of the rotary harrow at sowing. It was not  
502 observed as a factor preventing root development. In the contrary, similar root biomass was  
503 observed across tillage treatments, and root density was slightly lower under VST at 20 cm,  
504 with more concentrate root density near the soil surface (Figure 3).

505 Deeper, between 15 and 30 cm, non-ploughed treatments (ST and VST) had more  
506 compacted soil with higher penetration resistance and less porous clods (Figure 2a). Root  
507 biomass was not significantly altered, although reduced root morphological traits changes  
508 suggest mechanical stress from compaction, impeding resource uptake and use efficiency.  
509 Root average diameter was found to be particularly linked to the tillage gradient though  
510 higher diameter when less tillage (Figure 2b). Differences in root diameter for a given root  
511 order are associated with specific adaptations to environmental conditions (Ryser, 1998).  
512 Morphological changes occur as a result of mechanical stress, with the thickening of root  
513 diameter representing one well-identified consequences in literature (Atwell, 1990; Colombi  
514 *et al.*, 2017; Schmidt *et al.*, 2013), although it remains unclear whether these changes are  
515 the consequence of mechanical stress or an adaptation that favors root penetration capacity  
516 (Freschet *et al.* 2020).


517 Furthermore, lower root density, tip elongation, and specific root length were found  
518 under VST between 15 and 30 cm. These results underlined again the limitation effect of  
519 compacted soil layers on root cell elongation, and showed that the less tilled treatment (VST)  
520 was impacted the most in terms of root growth. Specific root length responses to resource  
521 limitation noticeably differ across publications (Freschet *et al.*, 2015); however, this trait  
522 represents a key feature for evaluating the efficiency of resource acquisition by roots, where  
523 high specific root length indicates large absorptive surface with low cell mass, i.e. low  
524 structure and development costs. Furthermore, decrease in root tip elongation could impact  
525 root function in various ways. The root tip is a hotspot of soil-root exchange, through  
526 exudation, respiration, and water-nutrients uptake processes (Bidel *et al.*, 2000; Laporte *et*  
527 *al.*, 2013; Nguyen, 2009).

528 As a consequence of compaction increase and root growth limitations, the reduction  
529 of tillage could also lead to a stratification of the root-soil exchanges, where most flux  
530 exchanges are concentrated in the first centimeters of soil. The deeper soil layers could then  
531 be mainly providing extra water pools and being less favorable for nutrient acquisition  
532 (nutrients mineralization decrease and immobilization increase). This pattern is supported in

533 many no-till studies, with nutrients content and porosity improved in the first centimeters of  
534 no-till soil, while deeper centimeters are more compacted and less furnished with nutrients  
535 (Martínez et al., 2016; Krauss *et al.*, 2018). Thus, tillage is a major factor shaping soil  
536 features and influencing soil-root interactions. In the past decades, productive grain systems  
537 were helped by ploughing operations because it temporarily creates a significant soil depth  
538 (20-30 cm deep) that allows fine roots to grow rapidly and homogeneously, and fast growing  
539 cultivars were improved simultaneously and can quickly acquire mineralized nutrients (Isaac  
540 et al., 2021; Roucou et al., 2018). The development of cropping systems with less tillage  
541 might require new research on crops and cultivars with relevant root trait adaptations.

542

#### 543 **4.3. Earthworm community and activity improvements with long-term tillage** 544 **reduction**

545 The earthworm community was different between the two ploughed treatments (MP  
546 and SMP) and the ST treatment. However, the biomass of earthworms was higher in VST  
547 compared to MP and SMP, which was attributed to the higher body mass of adults and  
548 anecic earthworms. Those are known for being more prevalent in lightly tilled soils (Pelosi *et*  
549 *al.*, 2016) and our results are consistent with having slightly more  clods under VST  
550 (Figure 5). These results support those obtained in other organic (Krauss *et al.*, 2022) and  
551 conventional (Pelosi *et al.*, 2014) cropping trials. . Low soil disturbance allows the  
552 persistence of anecic earthworms, as their vertical galleries are very sensitive to the  
553 destructive actions of soil inversion. These galleries are real burrows that contribute to the  
554 survival and reproduction of these earthworms. However, the biomass recorded in our study  
555 (34.9 g.m<sup>-2</sup> (MP) to 67.0 g.m<sup>-2</sup> (VST)) was lower than that recorded in other experiments in  
556 France (Pelosi *et al.*, 2014). This difference might be explained by the sandy soil and  
557 intensive organic cropping system at our site. Spring crops were intensively weeded, with low  
558 vegetation cover during crop rotation. The biomass measured in 2021 was similar to that in  
559 2015, indicating that the population did not grow over the six years (Peigné *et al.*, 2018).  
560 While this is purely speculative, we can imagine that more favorable conditions for

561 earthworm activity improve the effect of reduced tillage with a stronger biological leverage to  
562 recreate functional soil porosity.

563 Earthworm biomass (mainly due to anecic worms) was higher in SMP compared to  
564 the other treatments in 2015. SMP might have presented the best compromise at that time to  
565 preserve earthworm biomass, with lower soil disturbance than traditional ploughing, but with  
566 less soil compaction than the non-ploughed treatments (27% of  $\mu$ clods with SMP compared  
567 to 45% and 49% for ST and VST respectively in 2015, Peigné et al., 2018). However in 2021,  
568 compaction in the non-ploughed treatments was half that of 2015 in 2021 (in % of  $\mu$ clods,  
569 same methodology). This compaction decrease is likely an effect of the duration of the  
570 experiment, gradually allowing earthworms to evolve and penetrate the compacted areas, as  
571 shown by the better proportion of clods containing visible earthworms macropores ( $\mu$ clods  
572 clods) under ST and VST in this study compared to the previous one, 6 years ago (Peigné et  
573 al., 2018) This gradual decrease in the negative effect of compaction can explain why the  
574 earthworms biomass was finally higher under VST treatment in 2021 compared to the  
575 ploughed treatments.

576 However, tomography observations of the burrow systems in 2021 were limited to the 0-  
577 20 cm depth, a layer where no clear differences were observed regarding soil compaction.  
578 Nevertheless, more burrows were connected to the soil surface in the non-ploughed  
579 treatments (ST and VST). This may be partly due to the increase of anecic earthworms  
580 activity (including epi-anecic ones), as VST was the only treatment that statistically indicated  
581 such anecic abundance increase. As these earthworms mainly feed on fresh organic matter  
582 at the soil surface, they had vertical burrows that are directly connected to the surface in  
583 order to access litter for their daily consumption (Capowiez *et al.* 2015). The connection of  
584 the macropores to the surface of the soil can support major positive functional change such  
585 as water infiltration and retention (Hangen et al., 2002; Shipitalo *et al.*, 1990). However, we  
586 did not observe a larger total volume of pores nor galleries with larger diameters in non-  
587 ploughed treatments, linked to the higher presence of anecic earthworms and adults. The  
588 overall low earthworm biomass, regardless of treatment, prevented us from detecting any

589 differences. Moreover, although the galleries of anecic earthworms are larger, they are  
590 generally less numerous in the soil, especially for epi-anecic earthworms compared to other  
591 earthworm categories (Capowiez *et al.* 2021). Another reason for this lack of difference might  
592 be linked to the higher soil penetration resistance below 15 cm in the non-ploughed  
593 treatments. Soil bulk density negatively affects the burrowing behavior of earthworms  
594 (Capowiez *et al.* 2021; Rushton 1986). Thus, after 16 years of very superficial tillage, the  
595 activity of earthworms likely failed to increase soil macroporosity compared to ploughed soils  
596 in our pedoclimatic and agronomic contexts.

597 Finally, the greater abundance of anecic earthworms might explain the decrease in  
598 penetration resistance at greater depth (40 cm) under VST since these earthworms are the  
599 only ones to burrow so deep (Capowiez *et al.*, 2012). Unfortunately, no information regarding  
600 burrow systems was available due to the difficulty in sampling soil cores at these depths.  
601 Anecic and epi-anecic earthworms build deep vertical burrows that improve macroporosity,  
602 potentially enhancing root growth at depth. Capowiez *et al.* (2009) showed the presence of  
603 larger macropores in reduced tillage compared to ploughing at 30–40 cm depth in loamy  
604 soils, supporting this possible improvement in depth. Other studies also identified this  
605 beneficial role of deep vertical burrows made by anecic earthworm species or roots, and their  
606 associations with greater oxygenation and water infiltration at depth (Wendel *et al.*, 2022;  
607 Zhang and Pend, 2021).

## 608 **5. Conclusions**

609 After 16 years of organic conservation tillage in a sandy loam soil, our study suggests that  
610 the first centimeters of soil are crucial to observe the main benefits of CT practices in terms  
611 of soil functioning. Without ploughing (ST, VST), better connection of the galleries to the soil  
612 surface are key features to sustain water infiltration and nutrients concentration (Peigné *et*  
613 *al.*, 2018). But in spite of increased earthworm's abundance, the absence of ploughing was  
614 still associated with a substantial stratification of soil and root features, i.e. differences  
615 between soil layers. Notably under VST and below 15 cm, the soil became denser, and

616 altered the morphological traits of roots, with higher root diameter and lower specific root  
617 suggesting less efficient resource uptake by roots. Further analysis on microorganism  
618 activities and nutrients fluxes should be performed to determine the nature and magnitude of  
619 plant-soil interaction changes.

620 Our results raise questions on current recommendations for tillage techniques in such  
621 sensitive sandy loam soil. In sandy soils, intermittent or strategic tillage with shallow  
622 mouldboard ploughing might avoid compaction problems, which are, ultimately, poorly  
623 compensated for by biological activity in the long term under conservation tillage. Of  
624 importance, a diversified but relatively short and intensive, crop rotation with only temporary  
625 cover crops was used in this study. Our study is therefore strengthening that soil health goals  
626 cannot be targeted solely through the reduction of tillage and the minimization of soil  
627 disturbance. Within an integrated approach, all other soil health principles must be  
628 considered too (soil armor, plant diversity, living roots, and livestock integration; Natural  
629 Resources Conservation Service, USDA, n.d.). New and more disruptive practices such as  
630 temporary grasslands, agroforestry, or permanent cover crops, could be integrated in no-till  
631 systems to sustain soil health and functions, meet current expectations about “ecological  
632 intensification”.

633 **References**

- 634 Atwell BJ (1990) The effect of soil compaction on wheat during early tillering. I. Growth, development  
635 and root structure. *New Phytol* 115:29–35. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1990.tb00918.x>
- 636 Bastardie F, Capowiez Y, Cluzeau D (2005). 3D characterisation of earthworm burrow systems in  
637 natural soil cores collected from a 12-year-old pasture. *Appl Soil Ecol* 30(1), 34-46.  
638 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2005.01.001>
- 639 Bidet LPR, Renault P, Pages L, Riviere LM (2000) Mapping meristem respiration of *Prunus persica*  
640 (L.) Batsch roots: potential respiration of the meristems, O<sub>2</sub> diffusional constraints, and combined  
641 effects on root growth. *J Exp Bot* 51: 755–768. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/51.345.755>
- 642 Bohoussou YN, Kou YH, Yu WB, Lin B, Virk AL, Zhao X, Dang YP, Zhang, HL (2022) Impacts of the  
643 components of conservation agriculture on soil organic carbon and total nitrogen storage: A global  
644 meta-analysis. *Sci Tot Environ* 842:156822. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2022.156822>.
- 645 Boizard H, Peigné J, Sasal MC, de Fátima Guimarães, M, Piron D, Tomis V, Vian JF, Cadoux S,  
646 Ralisch R, Tavares Filho J, Heddadj D, de Battista J, Duparque A, Franchini JC, Roger-Estrade J  
647 (2017) Developments in the “profil cultural” method for an improved assessment of soil structure  
648 under no-till. *Soil Till Res* 173:92–103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2016.07.007>
- 649 Bottinelli N, Jouquet P, Capowiez Y, Podwojewski P, Grimaldi M, Peng X (2015) Why is the influence  
650 of soil macrofauna on soil structure only considered by soil ecologists? *Soil Till Res* 146: 118–124.  
651 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2014.01.007>
- 652 Casagrande M, Peigné J, Payet V, Mäder P, Sans FX, Blanco-Moreno JM, Antichi D, Bàrberi P,  
653 Beeckman A, Bigongiali F, Cooper J, Dierauer H, Gascoyne K, Grosse M, Heß J, Kranzler A, Luik  
654 A, Peetsmann E, Surböck A, ... David C (2015) Organic farmers’ motivations and challenges for  
655 adopting conservation agriculture in Europe. *Org Agr* 6:281-295. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s13165-015-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13165-015-0136-0)  
656 [0136-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13165-015-0136-0)
- 657 Capowiez Y, Cadoux S, Bouchant P, Ruy S, Roger-Estrade J, Richard G, Boizard H (2009) The effect  
658 of tillage type and cropping system on earthworm communities, macroporosity and water  
659 infiltration. *Soil Till Res* 105(2): 209–216. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2009.09.002>
- 660 Capowiez Y, Sammartino S, Cadoux S, Bouchant P, Richard G, Boizard H (2012) Role of earthworm  
661 in regenerating soil structure after compaction in reduced tillage systems. *Soil Biol Biochem* 55:93-  
662 103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.06.013>



- 663 Capowiez Y, Bottinelli N, Sammartino S, Michel E, Jouquet P (2015) Morphological and functional  
664 characterisation of the burrow systems of six earthworm species (Lumbricidae). *Biol Fertil Soils*  
665 51:869–877. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-015-1036-x>
- 666 Capowiez Y, Lévêque T, Pelosi C, Capowiez L, Mazzia C, Schreck E, Dumat C (2021) Using the  
667 ecosystem engineer concept to test the functional effects of a decrease in earthworm abundance  
668 due to an historic metal pollution gradient. *Appl Soil Ecol* 158: 103816.  
669 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2020.103816>
- 670 Capowiez Y, Gilbert F, Valat A, Poggiale JC, Bonzom JM (2021) Depth distribution of soil organic  
671 matter and burrowing activity of earthworms - mesocosm study using X-ray tomography and  
672 luminophores. *Biol Fert Soils* 57, 337-346. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-020-01536-y>
- 673 Capowiez Y, Sammartino S, Keller T, Bottinelli N (2021) Decreased burrowing activity of endogeic  
674 earthworms and effects on water infiltration in response to an increase in soil bulk density.  
675 *Pedobiologia* 85-86:150728. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedobi.2021.150728>
- 676 Chabert A, Sarthou JP (2020) Conservation agriculture as a promising trade-off between conventional  
677 and organic agriculture in bundling ecosystem services. *Agr Ecosystem Environm* 292:106815.  
678 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2019.106815>
- 679 Colombi T, Kirchgessner N, Walter A, Keller T (2017) Root tip shape governs root elongation rate  
680 under increased soil strength. *Plant Physiol* 174: 2289–2301. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.17.00357>
- 681 Cooper J, Baranski M, Stewart G, Nobel-de Lange M, Bàrberi P, Fließbach A, Peigné J, Berner A,  
682 Brock C, Casagrande M, Crowley O, David C, de Vliegheer A, Döring TF, Dupont A, Entz M, Grosse  
683 M, Haase T, Halde C, ... Mäder P (2016) Shallow non-inversion tillage in organic farming maintains  
684 crop yields and increases soil C stocks: a meta-analysis. *Agron Sustain Dev* 36(1).  
685 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-016-0354-1>
- 686 Curmi P (1988) Structure, espace poral du sol et fonctionnement hydrique. Analyse de quelques cas  
687 concrets. *Sciences Du Sol*, 26(3) : 203–214.
- 688 Drewry JJ (2006) Natural recovery of soil physical properties from treading damage  
689 of pastoral soils in New Zealand and Australia: a review. *Agr Ecosyst*  
690 *Environ* 114: 159-169. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2005.11.028>.
- 691 Freschet GT, Pagès L, Iversen CM, Comas LH, Rewald B, Roumet C, Klimešová J, Zadworny M,  
692 Poorter H, Postma JA, Adams TS, Bagniewska-Zadworna A, Bengough AG, Blancaflor EB.,

- 693 Brunner I, Cornelissen JHC, Garnier E, Gessler A, Hobbie SE, Meier IC, Mommer L, Picon-  
694 Cochard C, Rose L, Ryser P, Scherer-Lorenzen M, Soudzilovskaia NA, Stokes A, Sun T, Valverde-  
695 Barrantes OJ, Weemstra M, Weigelt A, Wurzburger N, York LM, Batterman SA, Gomes de Moraes  
696 M, Janeček Š, Lambers H, Salmon V, Tharayil N, McCormack ML (2021) A starting guide to root  
697 ecology: strengthening ecological concepts and standardising root classification, sampling,  
698 processing and trait measurements. *New Phytol* 232: 973–1122. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17572>
- 699 Hangen, E., Buczko, U., Bens, O., Brunotte, J., Hüttl, R.F., 2002. Infiltration patterns into two soils  
700 under conventional and conservation tillage: influence of the spatial distribution of plant root  
701 structures and soil animal activity. *Soil Tillage Res.* 63, 181–186. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-1987(01)00234-3)  
702 [1987\(01\)00234-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-1987(01)00234-3)
- 703 Henneron L, Bernard L, Hedde M, Pelosi C, Villenave C, Chenu C, Bertrand M, Girardin C, Blanchart,  
704 E (2015) Fourteen years of evidence for positive effects of conservation agriculture and organic  
705 farming on soil life. *Agron Sustain Dev* 35: 169–181. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-014-0215-8>
- 706 Hoeffner K, Butt KR, Monard C, Frazao J, Pérès G, Cluzeau D (2022) Two distinct ecological  
707 behaviours within anecic earthworm species in temperate climates. *Eur J Soil Biol* 113:103446.
- 708 IFOAM, n.d. The Four Principles of Organic Agriculture [WWW Document]. URL [https://ifoam.bio/why-](https://ifoam.bio/why-organic/shaping-agriculture/four-principles-organic)  
709 [organic/shaping-agriculture/four-principles-organic](https://ifoam.bio/why-organic/shaping-agriculture/four-principles-organic) (accessed 7.8.23)
- 710 Isaac, M.E., Nimmo, V., Gaudin, A.C.M., Leptin, A., Schmidt, J.E., Kallenbach, C.M., Martin, A., Entz, M.,  
711 Carkner, M., Rajcan, I., Boyle, T.D., Lu, X., 2021. Crop Domestication, Root Trait Syndromes, and Soil  
712 Nutrient Acquisition in Organic Agroecosystems: A Systematic Review. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* 5, 317.  
713 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2021.716480>
- 714 Kassam A, Friedrich T, Derpsch R (2022) Successful Experiences and Lessons from Conservation  
715 Agriculture Worldwide. *Agronomy* 12(4). <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12040769>
- 716 Kan ZR, Liu QY, He C, Jing ZH, Virk AL, Qi JY, Zhao X, Zhang HL (2020) Responses of grain yield  
717 and water use efficiency of winter wheat to tillage in the North China Plain. *Field Crop Res*  
718 [249:107760. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2020.107760](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2020.107760)
- 719 Krauss M, Mäder P, Peigné J, Cooper J (2018) Conservation tillage in organic farming. In Köpke U  
720 (ed) *Improving Organic Crop Cultivation*, 1st edn. Burleigh Dodds Science Publishing, pp 153–177
- 721 Krauss M, Berner A, Perrochet F, Frei R, Niggli U, Mäder P (2020) Enhanced soil quality with reduced  
722 tillage and solid manures in organic farming—a synthesis of 15 years. *Sci Rep* 10: 4403.  
723 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-61320-8>

- 724 Krauss M, Wiesmeier M, Don A, Cuperus F, Gattinger A, Gruber S, Haagsma WK, Peigné J, Chiodelli  
725 Palazzoli M, Schulz F, van der Heijden MGA, Vincent-Caboud L, Wittwer RA, Zikeli S, Steffens M  
726 (2022) Reduced tillage in organic farming affects soil organic carbon stocks in temperate Europe.  
727 Soil Till Res 216:105262. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2021.105262>.
- 728 Kuntz M, Berner A, Gattinger A, Scholberg JM, Mäder P, Pfiffner L (2013) Influence of reduced tillage  
729 on earthworm and microbial communities under organic arable farming. Pedobiologia 56(4–6):  
730 251–260. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedobi.2013.08.005>
- 731 Laporte MA, Denaix L, Pages L, Sterckeman T, Flenet F, Dauguet S, Nguyen C. (2013) Longitudinal  
732 variation in cadmium influx in intact first order lateral roots of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*. L).  
733 Plant Soil 372: 581–595. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-013-1756-3>
- 734 Martínez, I., Chervet, A., Weisskopf, P., Sturny, W.G., Etana, A., Stettler, M., Forkman, J., Keller, T.,  
735 2016. Two decades of no-till in the Oberacker long-term field experiment: Part I. Crop yield, soil  
736 organic carbon and nutrient distribution in the soil profile. Soil Tillage Res. 163, 141–151.  
737 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2016.05.021>
- 738 Natural Resources Conservation Service, USDA, n.d. Soil Health [WWW Document]. URL  
739 <https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/conservation-basics/conservation-by-state/north-dakota/soil-health>  
740 (accessed 7.8.23).
- 741 Nguyen C (2009). Rhizodeposition of Organic C by Plant: Mechanisms and Controls. In: Lichtfouse E,  
742 Navarrete M, Debaeke P, Véronique S, Alberola C (eds) Sustainable Agriculture, Springer,  
743 Dordrecht, pp97-123. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-2666-8\\_9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-2666-8_9)
- 744 Pagès, L (1999) Root system architecture: from its representation to the study of its elaboration.  
745 Agronomie 19 : 295-304
- 746 Pierret A, Doussan C, Capowiez Y, Bastardie F, Pagès L (2007) Root Functional Architecture: A  
747 Framework for modeling the Interplay between Roots and Soil. Vadose Zone J. 6(2): 269-281.  
748 <https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2006.0067>
- 749 Peigné J, Ball BC, Roger-Estrade J, David C (2007) Is conservation tillage suitable for organic  
750 farming? A review. Soil Use Manag 23(2) : 129–144. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-2743.2006.00082.x>.
- 752 Peigné J, Cannavaciolo M, Gautronneau Y, Aveline A, Giteau JL, Cluzeau D (2009) Earthworm  
753 populations under different tillage systems in organic farming. Soil Till Res 104(2): 207–214.

- 754 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2009.02.011>
- 755 Peigné J, Vian JF, Cannavacciuolo M, Lefevre V, Gautronneau Y, Boizard H (2013) Assessment of  
756 soil structure in the transition layer between topsoil and subsoil using the profil cultural method. *Soil*  
757 *Till Res* 127:13-25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2012.05.014>
- 758 Peigné J, Vian JF, Payet V, Saby N (2018) Soil fertility after 10 years of conservation tillage in organic  
759 farming. *Soil Till Res* 175 : 194–204. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2017.09.008>
- 760 Pelosi C, Pey B, Hedde M, Caro G, Capowiez Y, Guernion M, Peigné J, Piron D, Bertrand M,  
761 Cluzeau, D (2014) Reducing tillage in cultivated fields increases earthworm functional diversity.  
762 *Appl Soil Ecol* 83: 79–87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2013.10.005>,
- 763 Pelosi C, Pey B, Caro G, Cluzeau D, Peigné J, Bertrand M, Hedde M (2016). Dynamics of earthworm  
764 taxonomic and functional diversity in ploughed and no-tilled cropping systems. *Soil Till Res* 156:  
765 25–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2015.07.016>
- 766 R Core Team (2016) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R foundation for  
767 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- 768 Reichert JM, da Rosa VT, Vogelmann ES, da Rosa DP, Horn R, Reinert DJ, Sattler A, Denardin JE  
769 (2016) Conceptual framework for capacity and intensity physical soil properties affected by short  
770 and long-term (14 years) continuous no-tillage and controlled traffic. *Soil Tillage Res* 158: 123–136.  
771 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2015.11.010>
- 772 Roucou, A., Violle, C., Fort, F., Roumet, P., Ecarnot, M., Vile, D., 2018. Shifts in plant functional  
773 strategies over the course of wheat domestication. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 55, 25–37.  
774 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13029>
- 775 Rushton SP (1986) The effects of soil compaction on *Lumbricus terrestris* and its possible implications  
776 for populations on land reclaimed from open-cast coal mining. *Pedobiologia* 29: 85–90.
- 777 Ryser P (1998) Intra- and interspecific variation in root length, root turnover and the underlying  
778 parameters. In: Lambers H, Poorter H, Van Vuuren MMI (eds). *Variation in plant growth.*  
779 *Physiological mechanisms and ecological consequences.* Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, pp 441–  
780 465.
- 781 Seethepalli A, Dhakal K, Griffiths M, Guo H, Freschet GT, York L M (2021) RhizoVision Explorer:  
782 open-source software for root image analysis and measurement standardization. *AoB plants* 13(6):  
783 plab056.

- 784 Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Cardona A (2012) Fiji: an  
785 open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature Methods* 9: 676–682.  
786 [doi:10.1038/nmeth.2019](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019)
- 787 Schmidt S, Gregory PJ, Grinev DV, Bengough AG (2013) Root elongation rate is correlated with the  
788 length of the bare root apex of maize and lupin roots despite contrasting responses of root growth  
789 to compact and dry soils. *Plant Soil* 372: 609–618. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-013-1766-1>
- 790 Shipitalo MJ, Edwards WM, Dick WA, Owens LB (1990) Initial storm effect on micropore transport of  
791 surface-applied chemicals in no-till soil. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* 54: 1530-1536.  
792 <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1990.03615995005400060004x>
- 793 Soane BD, Ball BC, Arvidsson J, Basch G, Moreno F, Roger-Estrade J (2012) No-till in northern,  
794 western and south-western Europe: A review of problems and opportunities for crop production  
795 and the environment. *Soil Till Res* 118: 66–87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2011.10.015>
- 796 Vian JF, Peigne J, Chaussod R, Roger-Estrade J (2009) Effects of four tillage systems on soil  
797 structure and soil microbial biomass in organic farming. *Soil Use Manag* 25(1): 1-10.  
798 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-2743.2008.00176.x>
- 799 Wendel AS, Bauke SL, Amelung W, Knief C (2022) Root-rhizosphere-soil interactions in biopores.  
800 *Plant Soil* 475(1–2) : 253–277. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-022-05406-4>
- 801 Young MD, Ros GH, de Vries W (2021) Impacts of agronomic measures on crop, soil, and  
802 environmental indicators: A review and synthesis of meta-analysis. *Agr Ecosyst Environ* 319:  
803 107551. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2021.107551>

804

## 805 **Statements & Declarations**

## 806 **Funding**

807 This work was supported by PEPIT program funded BY Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes region  
808 (France).

## 809 **Competing Interests**

810 The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

**811 Author Contributions**

812 All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data  
813 collection and analysis were performed by all authors: Olivier Duchene and Adeline  
814 Cadiergues for roots study, Yvan Capowiez and Vincent Ducasse for earthworm study, Jean-  
815 François Vian, Olivier Duchene, Thomas Lhuillery and Joséphine Peigné for soil physical  
816 study. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Olivier Duchene and Joséphine  
817 Peigné, and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read  
818 and approved the final manuscript.

**819 Data Availability**

820 The datasets generated and analysed during the current study are available from the  
821 corresponding author on reasonable request.

Accepted manuscript